

Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rohrabacher
Rokita
Rooney (FL)
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross
Rothfus
Rouzer
Royce
Russell
Ryan (WI)
Salmon
Sanford
Scalise
Schweikert
Scott, Austin
Sensenbrenner
Sessions

Shinkus
Shuster
Simpson
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Stefanik
Stivers
Stutzman
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tipton
Trotter
Turner
Upton
Valadao
Wagner
Walberg
Walden

Walker
Walorski
Walters, Mimi
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Wenstrup
Westerman
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Williams
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Young (IN)
Zeldin
Zinke

Jenkins (WV)
Johnson (OH)
Johnson, Sam
Jolly
Jones
Jordan
Joyce
Katko
Keating
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
King (IA)
Kinzinger (IL)
Kline
Knight
Labrador
LaMalfa
Lamborn
Lance
Latta
LoBiondo
Long
Loudermilk
Love
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lummis
MacArthur
Marchant
Marino
Massie
McCarthy
McCaul
McClintock
McHenry
McKinley
McMorris
Rodgers
McSally
Meadows
Meehan
Messer
Mica
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Moolenaar
Mooney (WV)

Scott, Austin
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Shinkus
Shuster
Simpson
Sinema
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Stefanik
Stivers
Stutzman
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tipton
Trott
Turner
Upton
Valadao
Wagner
Walberg
Walden
Walker
Walorski
Walters, Mimi
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Wenstrup
Westerman
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Williams
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Young (IN)
Zeldin
Zinke

Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schrader
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Sherman
Sires
Slaughter
Smith (WA)
Swalwell (CA)
Takai
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tonko
Torres
Tsongas
Van Hollen
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walz
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters, Maxine
Watson Coleman
Welch
Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—13

Bishop (UT)
Brady (PA)
Carter (TX)
Clawson (FL)
Collins (NY)

□ 1607

Messrs. CONAWAY, FINCHER, STIVERS, and JOHNSON of Ohio changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Ms. GABBARD and Mr. SHERMAN changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 241, noes 179, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 466]

AYES—241

Abraham
Aderholt
Allen
Amash
Amodei
Babin
Barletta
Barr
Barton
Benishek
Bera
Bilirakis
Bishop (MI)
Black
Blackburn
Blum
Bost
Boustany
Brady (TX)
Brat
Bridenstine
Brooks (AL)
Brooks (IN)
Buchanan
Buck
Bucshon
Burgess
Byrne
Carter (GA)
Chabot
Chaffetz
Coffman
Cole
Collins (GA)

Collins (NY)
Comstock
Conaway
Cook
Cooper
Costello (PA)
Cramer
Crawford
Crenshaw
Cuellar
Culbertson
Davis, Rodney
Denham
Dent
DeSantis
DesJarlais
Diaz-Balart
Duffy
Duncan (SC)
Duncan (TN)
Ellmers (NC)
Emmer (MN)
Farenthold
Fincher
Fitzpatrick
Fleischmann
Fleming
Flores
Forbes
Fortenberry
Foxy
Franks (AZ)
Frelinghuysen
Garrett

Gibbs
Gibson
Gohmert
Goodlatte
Gosar
Gowdy
Granger
Graves (GA)
Graves (LA)
Graves (MO)
Griffith
Grothman
Guinta
Guthrie
Hanna
Hardy
Harper
Harris
Hartzler
Heck (NV)
Hensarling
Herrera Beutler
Hice, Jody B.
Hill
Holding
Hudson
Huelskamp
Huizenga (MI)
Hultgren
Hunter
Hurd (TX)
Hurt (VA)
Issa
Jenkins (KS)

NOES—179

Adams
Aguilar
Ashford
Bass
Beatty
Becerra
Beyer
Bishop (GA)
Blumenauer
Bonamici
Brown (FL)
Brownley (CA)
Butterfield
Capps
Capuano
Cárdenas
Carney
Carson (IN)
Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Courtney
Crowley
Cummings
Curbelo (FL)
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny
DeFazio
DeGette
Delaney
DeLauro
DelBene
DeSaulnier
Deutch
Dingell
Doggett
Dold
Donovan

Doyle, Michael
F.
Duckworth
Edwards
Ellison
Engel
Eshoo
Esty
Farr
Fattah
Foster
Frankel (FL)
Fudge
Gabbard
Gallego
Garamendi
Graham
Grayson
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Grijalva
Gutiérrez
Hahn
Hastings
Heck (WA)
Higgins
Himes
Honda
Hoyer
Huffman
Jackson Lee
Jeffries
Costa
Johnson (GA)
Johnson, E. B.
Kelly (IL)
Kennedy
Kildee
Kilmer
Kind
King (NY)
Kirkpatrick
Kuster
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Lawrence
Lee
Levin
Lewis
Lieu, Ted

Lipinski
Loebach
Loftgren
Lowenthal
Lowey
Luján, Ben Ray
(NM)
Lynch
Maloney,
Carolyn
Maloney, Sean
Matsui
McCollum
McDermott
McGovern
McNerney
Meeks
Meng
Moore
Moulton
Murphy (FL)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Nolan
Norcross
O'Rourke
Pallone
Pascarella
Payne
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Peters
Pingree
Pocan
Polis
Price (NC)
Quigley
Rangel
Reichert
Rice (NY)
Richmond
Roybal-Allard
Ruiz
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sanchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta

NOT VOTING—13

Bishop (UT)
Boyle, Brendan
F.
Brady (PA)
Calvert
Carter (TX)
Clawson (FL)
Conyers
Hinojosa
Israel
Kaptur
Lujan Grisham
(NM)
Speier
Stewart

□ 1619

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 466, I was unable to vote due to a malfunction of my voting card. Had I been able to vote, I would have voted yes on rollcall 466.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CARTER of Georgia). The unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. MCCARTHY), my friend, the majority leader.

Mr. MCCARTHY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday, the House will meet at noon for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m.

On Tuesday and Wednesday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning hour and noon for legislative business.

On Thursday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. Last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m.

On Friday, no votes are expected in the House.

Mr. Speaker, the House will consider a number of suspensions next week, a complete list of which will be announced by close of business tomorrow.

In addition, the House will consider H.R. 427, the Regulations from the Executive in Need of Scrutiny Act of 2015, sponsored by Representative TODD YOUNG.

Last year Federal regulations burdened job creators with trillions of dollars in costs. This bill, commonly referred to as the REINS Act, will ensure that Congress has a say in whether

major rules should be imposed on the American people.

The House will also consider H.R. 1994, the VA Accountability Act, sponsored by Chairman JEFF MILLER.

Getting the best possible service to our Nation's veterans starts with having the best possible personnel in charge VA programs.

This critical bill will give the administration additional tools to turn things around at the VA and ensure veterans have the kind of care they deserve.

I thank the gentleman.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his information with reference to the two bills that will be considered next week.

We are coming now to the end of the scheduled work period, and we will be going into the August break. We just passed a bill, Mr. Leader, which dealt with a tragedy—or purportedly dealt with a tragedy—that occurred in San Francisco.

Every Member of this House believes, I think, that a mistake was made by the sheriff in San Francisco in releasing this individual who had been convicted of numerous felonies.

We also believe, if we had passed a comprehensive immigration reform bill similar to the one the Senate passed in the last Congress, that this problem itself would not be solved—because we believe that the sheriff should not have released this individual irrespective of the status of immigration reform—but we believe this would go a long way towards enhancing the ability of both law enforcement and of communities to deal with the immigration issue as well as giving confidence to people of their status.

Does the gentleman believe that there is any possibility of a comprehensive immigration bill being considered in the next work period?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. MCCARTHY. I thank my friend for yielding.

What happened in San Francisco was not just a mistake. This individual had seven felonies. It is not miscommunication. Kate lost her life and should not have to.

Sanctuary cities are made up of individuals who believe they can make their own law and disregard the law of the Federal Government.

I think today's bill was a good first start. I do look forward to continuing the conversations on both sides of the aisle on immigration reform, but I have nothing scheduled at this time.

Mr. HOYER. Just to make it clear, the mistake was the sheriff's. He should not have done what he did. It was a tragedy. We all agree on that.

Very frankly, we don't think that he was compelled to do so by the sanctuary law that San Francisco had in effect.

I will tell the majority leader that we had a difference of opinion in a previous bill, the Violence Against Women Act, where you did not include protec-

tion for immigrants when they came forward to law enforcement authorities and complained of domestic violence.

We had a disagreement on that, and the disagreement was that we thought they ought to be protected, which is why so many law enforcement officials opposed the bill that was brought forward.

I will tell you again, Mr. Leader, that we do not believe that the statute that was in San Francisco compelled or led to the actions of the sheriff in releasing a felon who had committed the numerous felonies and should not have been released. It was a tragedy.

Let me go on, Mr. Leader, to the appropriations process.

There are no appropriation bills listed on your schedule for next week. We have after next week some, I think, 16 legislative days left between now and the end of the fiscal year.

Again, for the next period that we are going to be back and in light of the fact that we know what it is going to be at least—and I will have some questions on some things that may be on, but we know what is scheduled for next week—does the gentleman believe that our Members ought to anticipate the further consideration of appropriation bills prior to the end of the fiscal year?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. MCCARTHY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

As I mentioned last week, yes, it is our intention to get back to the appropriation process as soon as possible.

As the gentleman knows, we are half-way done. We should finish our job, but I will make sure to keep the Members updated on the appropriation bills as they are scheduled and continue to be considered.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the majority leader. I am pleased to hear that.

I know the Speaker observed—and I think he is probably right—that there will have to be a CR. In light of that, I would hope that the majority leader, in league with the chairman of the Appropriations Committee, perhaps with the chairman of the Ways and Means Committee, and with the Speaker, would initiate the conversations now in preparation so that we would not have a crisis on September 30, but would, in a logical and, hopefully, a cooperative way, have gotten to what action would be taken with respect to a CR. I would urge my friend to pursue those discussions.

I would be glad to participate with him in those discussions with others on our side who will be involved in that process—our ranking member on the Appropriations Committee, our ranking member on the Ways and Means Committee, and our ranking member on the Budget Committee.

I yield to my friend if he wants to make a comment.

Mr. MCCARTHY. No.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman.

The gentleman and I have had discussions about highways. We know that next week the highway authorization

ends. We are planning on leaving here, if the schedule is kept, on Thursday of next week.

Will the gentleman tell me what he believes is the status of the highway bill?

I know the Senate is discussing a longer term highway bill. Neither the majority leader nor I are very enthusiastic about that bill as I have learned in my discussions with you.

Will you tell me what your plans are with respect to the highway bill so that we don't leave here without some sort of authorization having been passed?

I yield to my friend.

□ 1630

Mr. MCCARTHY. Well, I thank the gentleman for yielding. I thank him for his discussions with me regarding highways. Just last week, we passed a bipartisan bill that would ensure critical infrastructure projects continue throughout the year.

I know the Senate has their debate. Our bill goes to the end of the year with a long-term solution. The Senate currently is debating a bill that is not funded long term. I think the best bit of advice is to urge the Senate to accept our bill.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman.

Lastly, as the gentleman knows, I have been very much involved with the authorization of the Export-Import Bank through the years. As the gentleman knows, Mr. Cantor and I worked together and came up with a bipartisan proposal in 2012 that passed this House overwhelmingly with approximately 140 Republicans and about 185 or more Democrats, so it passed overwhelmingly.

Can the gentleman tell me whether or not there is any possibility of assuring that the majority of this House can work its will and the majority of the Senate—and I say that because MITCH MCCONNELL, the leader of the Senate, is quoted as saying the supporters of the Federal Export-Import Bank have the Senate votes to revive it and will get a chance to do so.

Majority Leader MITCH MCCONNELL said, It looks to me like they have the votes—and I am requesting to give them the opportunity. MCCONNELL, who opposes the Bank, said he expects supporters to try to attach the reauthorization to a highway bill.

Assuming that we get a bill from the Senate with the Export-Import Bank attached to it, does the majority leader believe that we will have the opportunity—and I think the majority of the Members of the House would vote in favor of it—will have the opportunity to vote on the Export-Import Bank before we leave here on Thursday?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. MCCARTHY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I thank my friend for his weekly questions. I think you may have asked these questions actually more times than we repealed ObamaCare, but my answer remains the same.

Mr. HOYER. I could not possibly stand on this floor long enough to do that.

I yield to my friend.

Mr. MCCARTHY. My answer still remains the same to the gentleman. There is no action scheduled in the House on Ex-Im.

Mr. HOYER. I keep asking that question, and I keep getting the wrong answer. I will be faithful to asking that question.

I say that with humor, but the gentleman knows that I believe this is an extraordinarily serious issue. The gentleman knows I agree with the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Mr. BOEHNER, that we are losing jobs right now as a result of our failure to extend the authorization of the Export-Import Bank past June 30.

The gentleman knows I believe that 165,000 jobs are at risk. The Indian director of their export-import bank is quoted as saying in the paper, just the other day, that he believes they are going to pick up jobs and orders because of the failure of the Export-Import Bank to be reauthorized.

I think this is not something that is not real. It is a loss of jobs and a loss of competitive status for our country if we do not reauthorize this and do so as quickly as possible.

I will keep asking the question because I feel it is so very important to our country and to our competitiveness, but I appreciate the gentleman's faithfulness in his answer. I am hopeful that it will change.

Mr. MCCARTHY. We will keep repealing ObamaCare.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I didn't notice that ObamaCare had been repealed. I simply noticed the Supreme Court said it was a constitutional piece of legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

ADJOURNMENT FROM THURSDAY, JULY 23, 2015, TO MONDAY, JULY 27, 2015

Mr. MCCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet on Monday, July 27, 2015, when it shall convene at noon for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

PLANNED PARENTHOOD

(Mr. ROTHFUS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROTHFUS. Mr. Speaker, it is painful to hear the words in the recently released video showing Planned Parenthood trafficking in body parts.

At one point, the doctor talks about a customer wanting "lower extremities," that is legs in everyday language.

"I don't know what they are going to do with it. Maybe they want muscle," she says.

These are legs that will never run to a mom or a dad, never run to a brother or a sister, never run to a spouse, never run to protect another child.

It has been 42 years since the Supreme Court did what Justice White called an exercise of raw judicial power. Since then, we have seen more than 55 million abortions in this country; yet we are still shocked by what these videos show.

This is a teaching moment, Mr. Speaker. There is a lot of pain implicit in these videos, pain for kids, pain for moms, pain for dads and families. Perhaps these videos can become the moment where our Nation can begin to heal that pain.

Stopping taxpayer dollars flowing to organizations responsible for this horror is a good place to start that healing.

FALLEN HAYWARD POLICE DEPARTMENT SERGEANT SCOTT LUNGER

(Mr. SWALWELL of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SWALWELL of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to ask that this House honor Sergeant Scott Lunger, 48 years old, who was murdered tragically yesterday as a Hayward police officer while on routine patrol.

His murder is a reminder that the work we call our police officers to do puts them and their lives in jeopardy every day, every stop, not knowing if it is going to be their last or if they are going to return home to see their families.

Sergeant Lunger leaves behind a wife, two daughters, a brother, family, and friends; but he died doing what he loved, his second career working as a police officer.

For 15 years, he did so to help people in the community. He served on the SWAT team and on the gang unit. He was described by his police chief as a warrior cop, an ethical police officer. He was described by others as that ideal officer, that go-to guy. A lieutenant said: He is the best cop and crimefighter I have ever seen.

The East Bay and Hayward community mourns the loss of Sergeant Scott Lunger. Long live the memory of Sergeant Scott Lunger. May God watch over his soul, our community, and his family.

HONORING DANIELLE GREEN

(Mrs. WALORSKI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. WALORSKI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize former Notre Dame basketball player and Army veteran, Danielle Green, who was recognized at

last week's ESPYs ceremony with the Pat Tillman award.

The award is named after former NFL player Pat Tillman, who joined the Army Rangers following 9/11, served several tours in combat before he died in a friendly fire incident in Afghanistan in 2004. It recognizes an individual with a strong connection to sports who has served others in a way that echoes Pat's legacy.

Danielle Green could not be more deserving of this prestigious honor. While bravely serving her country in Iraq, she lost part of her left arm from a rocket-propelled grenade attack. Upon her return, Green earned the Purple Heart for injuries suffered in combat. She now works with returning veterans as a readjustment counselor in South Bend, Indiana.

Danielle's sacrifice to protect our freedom and her contributions to Indiana veterans deserves recognition. She is an inspiration to Hoosiers everywhere.

Today, I thank Danielle Green and all of our servicemen and -women for the sacrifices they make in the name of freedom.

THE EQUALITY ACT

(Mr. KENNEDY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Speaker, today, my colleague Congressman DAVID CICILLINE, an unparalleled champion for LGBT rights, introduced the Equality Act. I rise today as a proud cosponsor in support of this bill.

Recent gains, from public opinion to the Supreme Court, have undoubtedly accelerated our ongoing civil rights march, but real justice will be served in this country when the LGBT community is guaranteed—not just legal equality, but lived equality.

The ability to experience everyday life without fear of discrimination is something most of us take for granted. Walking into a gas station without worrying about being denied service because of your gender identity, heading into a movie theater without fear of being turned away because of the hand you held when you walked in, that threat of judgment, rejection, and prejudice is injustice in its purest form.

Today, through the Equality Act, we can help root out the dangerous intolerance that continues to define too many American lives. I urge all of my colleagues to join this fight, cosponsor this bill, and get it signed into law.

SECRET SIDE DEALS WITH IRAN CONCERNING INSPECTION OF THEIR NUKES

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, it seems the Iranians are not the only ones we can't trust. Apparently, there